

Appln. No.: 10/622,241  
Amdt. dated January 22, 2007

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 22 2007

### REMARKS

In the Office Action of December 7, 2005, claims 21-47 were rejected on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent 7,080,788. Claims 48-67 were rejected on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent 6,014,705. Two terminal disclaimers are submitted herewith to overcome the double patenting rejections.

Claims 21-67 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dowling (US 2006/0195551 A1). On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserted that claims 21-67 are not entitled to the benefit of parent application 09/597,719. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner specify which limitations of the claims (at least the independent claims) are not believed to be supported by the specification of Application 09/597,719. Applicant strongly disagrees and submits that all of the limitations of claims 21-67 are clearly supported and enabled by the specification of Application 09/597,719, for example, in the text describing Figures 1A, 1B and 1C. For example, see page 24, lines 2-7, explaining that "the functionality of the upper layers of the protocol stack... is performed by the microprocessor 205 of the base module 201 while the functionality of the lower layers... is performed by the microprocessor 225 of the data and communication module 200." Also see, for example, page 26, lines 11-18, which states, "The network layer protocol associated with the mobility of a terminal unit..., data link layer, and the physical link layer is managed by the microprocessor 225 of the data and communication module 200. This protocol substack is stored in the interface circuit 226. Similarly, the substack containing the sessions layer, transport layer and a majority of the network layer is stored in memory in the base module 201." Since claims 21-67 are supported by the specification of Application 09/597,719, and since Application 09/597,719 (and its predecessor applications) predates Dowling, Dowling is not prior art to the present application. Applicant therefore requests that the rejection in view of Dowling be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request allowance of claims 21-67.

FROM McANDREWS, HELD, & MALLOY

(MON) 1. 22' 07 18:38/ST. 18:36/NO. 4861050845 P 7


Appln. No.: 10/622,241  
Amdt. dated January 22, 2007

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Date: January 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.

  
John A. Wiberg  
Reg. No. 44,401  
Tel.: 312 775 8000

JAW  
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.  
500 West Madison Street  
34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Chicago, IL 60661  
Telephone: (312) 775-8000  
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100